

Vortices in Quantum Röntgen Effect

Yi-Shi Duan and Ru-Nan Huang*

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

Abstract

By the application of ϕ -mapping topological theory, the properties of vortices in quantum Röntgen effect is thoroughly studied. The explicit expression of the vorticity is obtained, wherein which the δ function indicates that the vortices can only stem from the zero points of ϕ and the magnetic flux of the consequent monopoles is quantized in terms of the Hopf indices and Brouwer degrees. The evolution of vortex lines is discussed. The reduced dynamic equation and a conserved dynamic quantity on stable vortex lines are obtained.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 03.70.+k, 47.32.-y

Keywords: Röntgen effect; vortices; quantized monopoles

I. INTRODUCTION

Intensive studies, both theoretical and experimental, have been carried out since the advent of Bose-Einstein condensate^{1,2}. Owing to its unique features, novel methods of investigation have been springing up during the last decades. By virtue of recent theory on quantum phase of induced dipoles^{3,4,5,6}, U. Leonhardt and P. Piwnicki studied quantum Röntgen effect via a mean-field approach (the Gross-Pitaevskii theory) and get some intriguing results on its quantized monopoles⁷.

Given a charged capacitor and a dielectric (such as glass, robber, etc.) disc placed parallel between the plates, clearly the disc would be polarized, and, when it rotates, the induced charges on its opposite surfaces would act as currents and then generate a magnetic field. This effect, which is known as Röntgen Effect, was discovered by W.C. Röntgen in 1888⁸. Recent interests in Röntgen effect were reborn for its promising perspective in the research of quantum gases. For example, if the ordinary dielectric disc is replaced by a quantum dielectric disc (a Bose-Einstein condensate), Leonhardt and Piwnicki showed in their paper that only vortices would generate a magnetic field and the field would behave like it originates from a set of magnetic monopoles⁸. Despite their ingenuity, they employed the traditional way to express the wave function

$$\psi = |\psi|e^{iS}, \quad (1)$$

which inevitably leads to the irrotational result

$$\nabla \times \vec{u} = \nabla \times \left(\frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S \right) = 0, \quad (2)$$

and causes an irreconcilable flaw to the whole theory.

In this paper, by the application of ϕ -mapping topological theory⁹, which was established by Prof. Duan and has been exercised profoundly in studying topological invariant and topological structure of various physics systems^{10,11,12,13,14}, we will not only solve the problem we mentioned above but provide a thorough study on the behavior of vortices in quantum Röntgen effect. The results we get could be very conducive to further theoretical and experimental investigation of BEC.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we first elucidate that the curl of the velocity field is

$$\nabla \times \vec{u} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \vec{J} \left(\frac{\phi}{x} \right) \delta^2(\vec{\phi}), \quad (3)$$

which indicates the magnetic monopoles are generated from the zero points of ψ (i.e. the locations of vortices). While those zero points are regular points, the magnetic flux of the monopoles are quantized in terms of the Hopf indices β_i and Brouwer degrees η_i of ϕ -mapping.

In Sec. III we study the critical points of ψ , i.e., the limit points and bifurcation points, and show that there exists branch processes. The vortex lines generate, annihilate, split or merge at such points, while their topological number - winding number $\beta\eta$ is conserved.

In Sec. IV we investigate the reduced dynamic equation and get a conserved dynamic quantity on stable vortex lines.

In Sec. V we draw our conclusions.

SI units are used throughout the paper.

II. THE QUANTIZED VORTICITY AND MAGNETIC FLUX

Our work begins with the Gross-Pitaevskii equation which one could readily obtain from the Lagrangian density of Ref.⁷

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m}(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla + \alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B})^2\psi - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2\psi + \frac{g}{2}|\psi|^2\psi + V\psi. \quad (4)$$

As declared by Leonhardt and Piwnicki, the constant α denotes the electrical polarizability of the condensed atoms; the Gross-Pitaevskii term $g|\psi|^2\psi$ ($g > 0$) models the atomic repulsion (collision), which tends to smooth out density variations over the healing length $\hbar/\sqrt{2gm|\psi|^2}$; and V stands for the external potential which prevents the condensate from spreading out to infinity.

Instead of adopting the traditional expression (1), we shall write

$$\psi = \phi^1 + i\phi^2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\phi^a = \phi^a(x), \quad a = 1, 2, \quad (6)$$

are real functions. It means ψ can be described by a 2-dimensional vector field $\vec{\phi} = (\phi^1, \phi^2)$.

Substituting (5) into (4), we get the dynamic equation of the polarized condensed atoms

$$\begin{aligned} -\hbar\varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^b = & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\phi^a\nabla^2\phi^a + \frac{\hbar}{m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\nabla\phi^b \\ & + [\frac{1}{2m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B})^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + V]\|\phi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

as well as the continuity relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\phi\|^2 + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2} \varepsilon_{ab} \phi^a \nabla \phi^b + \frac{\alpha}{m} \vec{E} \times \vec{B} \right) \|\phi\|^2 \right] = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\|\phi\|^2 = \phi^a \phi^a = \psi^* \psi \quad (9)$$

describes the density of the condensed atoms.

Consequently, the velocity field takes the form

$$\vec{u} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2} \varepsilon_{ab} \phi^a \nabla \phi^b + \frac{\alpha}{m} \vec{E} \times \vec{B}. \quad (10)$$

In the following study, the second term is omitted for its contribution to the outcome is negligibly small (which was shown in⁷).

Note that

$$\frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|^2} = \frac{1}{\|\phi\|} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^a} \|\phi\| = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^a} \ln \|\phi\|, \quad (11)$$

curl $\vec{u}$ can be expressed as

$$\nabla \times \vec{u} = \frac{\hbar}{m} (\nabla \phi^1 \times \nabla \phi^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^a \partial \phi^a} \ln \|\phi\|. \quad (12)$$

By defining the Jacobian vector

$$\vec{J}\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right) = \nabla \phi^1 \times \nabla \phi^2, \quad (13)$$

and utilizing the Laplacian relation in ϕ space¹⁵

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^a \partial \phi^a} \ln \|\phi\| = 2\pi \delta^2(\vec{\phi}), \quad (14)$$

(12) can be reduced as

$$\nabla \times \vec{u} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \vec{J}\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right) \delta^2(\vec{\phi}). \quad (15)$$

This explicit expression is the utter solution to the problem which we mentioned in the introduction. Actually, such a δ -functional behavior of the vorticity has been assumed by Leonhardt and Piwnicki, but they failed to give any proof.

From the relation between $\vec{H}$ and $\vec{u}$ ⁷

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{H} = \varepsilon_0 \chi \vec{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{u}), \quad (16)$$

we have

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{H} = \frac{h}{m} \varepsilon_0 \chi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{J}\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right) \delta^2(\vec{\phi}). \quad (17)$$

Therefore, one can easily reach the conclusion that $\nabla \cdot \vec{H} \neq 0$ (i.e. $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} \neq 0$) if and only if $\vec{\phi} = 0$, the magnetic monopoles are generated from the zero points of $\vec{\phi}$ (i.e. the locations of vortices). This makes the solution of $\vec{\phi} = 0$ extremely significant.

Suppose the vector field $\vec{\phi}(x)$ has N zero points $\vec{z}_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$. The implicit function theorem assures us, while $\vec{z}_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$ are the regular points of $\vec{\phi}(x)$, i.e. $\vec{J}(\frac{\phi}{x})|_{\vec{z}_i} \neq 0$, they can be expressed as parameterized singular strings

$$L_i : \quad \vec{z}_i = \vec{z}_i(t, s), \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (18)$$

These N isolated singular strings are just the vortex lines.

For a fixed t , one can get the following result from δ -function theory¹⁵

$$\delta^2(\vec{\phi}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \int_{L_i} \frac{\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{z}_i(s))}{|J(\phi/u)|_{\Sigma_i}} ds, \quad (19)$$

where Σ_i is the i th planer element transversal to L_i with local coordinates (u^1, u^2) , $J(\frac{\phi}{u}) = \frac{\partial(\phi^1, \phi^2)}{\partial(u^1, u^2)}$, and β_i is a positive integer known as the Hopf index of ϕ -mapping.

Since the direction vector of L_i is given by

$$\left. \frac{d\vec{x}}{ds} \right|_{\vec{z}_i} = \left. \frac{\vec{J}(\phi/x)}{J(\phi/u)} \right|_{\vec{z}_i}, \quad (20)$$

we then have

$$\nabla \times \vec{u} = \frac{h}{m} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \eta_i \int_{L_i} \frac{d\vec{x}}{ds} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{z}_i(s)) ds, \quad (21)$$

where $\eta_i = \text{sgn} J(\frac{\phi}{u}) = \pm 1$ is the Brouwer degree, and it characterizes the direction of the i th vortex line. This expression shows the important inner topological structure of $\nabla \times \vec{u}$.

Thus, the vorticity of the condensate is

$$\Gamma = \int_{\Sigma} \nabla \times \vec{u} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{h}{m} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \eta_i, \quad (22)$$

and the magnetic flux of the consequent monopoles can be worked out as

$$\Phi = \int \mu_0 \nabla \cdot \vec{H} dv = \frac{h}{mc^2} \chi U \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \eta_i = \frac{\chi}{c^2} U \Gamma. \quad (23)$$

Here U denotes the applied voltage of the capacitor, χ is the susceptibility. It's obvious that both Γ and Φ are quantized by the product $\beta\eta$, which is called the winding number.

III. THE EVOLUTION OF VORTEX LINES

The discussion we've been carrying on so far is under the condition that $\vec{z}_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$ are regular points, but what happens when some $\vec{z}^* = (t^*, x^*)$ are critical points (i.e. $\vec{J}(\frac{\phi}{x})|_{\vec{z}^*} = 0$)? Soon we will show, such vortex lines would no longer be stable - it would evolve. In other words, generating and annihilating of vortex lines, as well as splitting and merging, would take place.

If we still insist on using the implicit function theorem, we first hope that one of the following Jacobians

$$D^i\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right)\bigg|_{\vec{z}^*} = \frac{\partial(\phi^1, \phi^2)}{\partial(t, x^i)}\bigg|_{\vec{z}^*}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (24)$$

is nonzero.

For simplicity, let's fix the z coordinate and consider the locus of $\vec{w}^* = (t^*, x^*, y^*)$.

Case 1. At least one of (24)'s Jacobians is nonzero. (Such $\vec{z}^*$ is called the limit point of $\vec{\phi}(x)$.) Suppose $D^2(\frac{\phi}{x})|_{\vec{z}^*} \neq 0$, near $\vec{w}^*$ we could locally solve $\vec{\phi} = 0$ to get

$$t = t(x), \quad y = y(x). \quad (25)$$

By differentiating the identity $\vec{\phi}(x, t(x), y(x)) = 0$ with respect to x , we yield

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = -\frac{J^3(\phi/x)}{D^2(\phi/x)}, \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{D^1(\phi/x)}{D^2(\phi/x)}. \quad (26)$$

Then (25) can be expanded at $\vec{w}^*$ as

$$t = t^* + \frac{dt}{dx}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*} (x - x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2t}{dx^2}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*} (x - x^*)^2 + o(|x - x^*|^2), \quad (27)$$

i.e.,

$$t - t^* = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2t}{dx^2}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*} (x - x^*)^2, \quad (28)$$

which is a parabola on the xt plane.

Eq.(28) reveals that there exists branch processes at the limit point. If $\frac{d^2t}{dx^2}\big|_{\vec{w}^*} > 0$, we have the branch solutions $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ for $t > t^*$, which represent the generating process. If $\frac{d^2t}{dx^2}\big|_{\vec{w}^*} < 0$, we have the branch solutions $x'_1(t)$ and $x'_2(t)$ for $t < t^*$, which represent the annihilating process.

Besides, Eq.(28) also tells us a simple approximate relation near $\vec{w}^*$

$$|x - x^*| \propto |t - t^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (29)$$

from which we can get the generating/annihilating speed

$$v \propto |t - t^*|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (30)$$

No matter which process takes place, from the identity $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{u}) = 0$, one can always reach the conclusion that the winding number $\beta\eta$ is conserved

$$\beta_1\eta_1 + \beta_2\eta_2 = 0, \quad (31)$$

which indicates that the two vortex lines have the same Hopf index and opposite directions.

Case 2. All of (24)'s Jacobians are zero. (Such $\vec{z}^*$ is called the bifurcation point of $\vec{\phi}(x)$.) Suppose one of the partial derivatives is nonzero. Let, for example, $\frac{\partial \phi^1}{\partial y} \neq 0$. Again, according to the implicit function theorem, near $\vec{w}^*$ we could locally solve $\phi^1 = 0$ to get

$$y = y(t, x). \quad (32)$$

Let $F(t, x) = \phi^2(t, x, y(t, x))$, from

$$J^3\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right)\Big|_{\vec{z}^*} = 0, \quad D^2\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right)\Big|_{\vec{z}^*} = 0, \quad (33)$$

one can respectively prove

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\Big|_{\vec{z}^*} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Big|_{\vec{z}^*} = 0. \quad (34)$$

Then the Taylor expansion of $F(x, t)$ in the neighborhood of $\vec{w}^*$ can be expressed as

$$F(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}A(x - x^*)^2 + B(x - x^*)(t - t^*) + \frac{1}{2}C(t - t^*)^2 + \dots, \quad (35)$$

where

$$A = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}, \quad B = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial t}, \quad C = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2}. \quad (36)$$

Note that

$$F(t^*, x^*) = \phi^2(t^*, x^*, y(t^*, x^*)), \quad (37)$$

we have

$$A \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} \right)^2 + 2B \frac{dx}{dt} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} + C = 0 \quad \left(\text{or} \quad C \left(\frac{dt}{dx} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} \right)^2 + 2B \frac{dt}{dx} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} + A = 0 \right). \quad (38)$$

1) If $A \neq 0$, $B^2 - 4AC > 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{dx}{dt} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} \right)_1 = \frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{A}, \quad \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \Big|_{\vec{w}^*} \right)_2 = \frac{-B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{A}, \quad (39)$$

which simply represent the intersection of two vortex lines.

2) If $A \neq 0$, $B^2 - 4AC = 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*}\right)_1 = \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*}\right)_2 = -\frac{B}{A}, \quad (40)$$

which could be either one vortex line splits into two vortex lines, or two vortex lines merge into one vortex line, with the speed $v = -\frac{B}{A}$. Same reason as before, the winding number $\beta\eta$ is conserved in the process, i.e.

$$\beta_1\eta_1 + \beta_2\eta_2 = \beta\eta. \quad (41)$$

3) If $A = 0$, $B \neq 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\bigg|_{\vec{w}^*}\right) = -\frac{C}{2B}, \quad (42)$$

which simply describes the motion of one vortex line.

IV. THE REDUCED DYNAMIC EQUATION AND CONSERVED DYNAMIC QUANTITY ON STABLE VORTEX LINES

In Sec. II we have obtained the dynamic equation of the polarized condensed atoms (7)

$$\begin{aligned} -\hbar\varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^b &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\phi^a\nabla^2\phi^a + \frac{\hbar}{m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\nabla\phi^b \\ &+ [\frac{1}{2m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B})^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + V]\|\phi\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2}\phi^a\nabla^2\phi^a = \frac{\nabla^2\|\phi\|}{\|\phi\|} - \left(\nabla\frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|}\right)^2, \quad (44)$$

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2}\varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^b &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\nabla\frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|}\right)^2 - \frac{\hbar}{m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2}\varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\nabla\phi^b \\ &- \frac{1}{2m}(\alpha\vec{E} \times \vec{B})^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 - \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 - U - V, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where

$$U = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\nabla^2\|\phi\|}{\|\phi\|} \quad (46)$$

is the Bohm quantum potential¹⁶.

Differentiate Eq. (45) with respect to coordinates. Using (10), it's easy to prove

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla\left(\nabla\frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|}\right)^2 = m\vec{u}\times(\nabla\times\vec{u}) - \nabla\left(\frac{1}{2}mu^2\right) + \nabla[(\alpha\vec{E}\times\vec{B})\cdot\vec{u}] + \frac{1}{2m}\nabla(\alpha\vec{E}\times\vec{B})^2, \quad (47)$$

$$\hbar\nabla\left(\frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2}\varepsilon_{ab}\phi^a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^b\right) = m\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t} - m\frac{\partial\vec{x}}{\partial t}\times(\nabla\times\vec{u}), \quad (48)$$

then we get the reduced dynamical equation

$$m\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t} = m(\vec{u} + \frac{\partial\vec{x}}{\partial t})\times(\nabla\times\vec{u}) - \nabla(\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + U + V). \quad (49)$$

For stable vortex lines, $\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t} = 0$, we have

$$m(\vec{u} + \frac{\partial\vec{x}}{\partial t})\times(\nabla\times\vec{u}) = \nabla(\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + U + V), \quad (50)$$

then

$$\nabla(\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + U + V) \cdot (\nabla\times\vec{u}) = 0. \quad (51)$$

Just like the situation in fluid mechanics, on those vortex lines

$$(\nabla\times\vec{u})^i \propto dx^i. \quad (52)$$

Eq. (51) becomes

$$\partial_i(\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + U + V)dx^i = 0, \quad (53)$$

thus

$$\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{g}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + U + V = \text{const}. \quad (54)$$

It's a conserved dynamics quantity on the stable vortex lines.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The use of ϕ -mapping topological theory has clarified the issue on the generation of vortices in quantum Röntgen effect. The explicit expression of curl $\vec{u}$ is given, from which we get the vorticity of the velocity field and the magnetic flux of the monopoles, both of them are quantized by the product of the Hopf indices and the Brouwer degrees. The generating, annihilating, splitting and merging of vortex lines are discussed in detail, and the speeds of these processes are estimated, which could come in handy when experiments are concerned. The reduced dynamic equation of the condensate is derived, from which we get a conserved dynamic quantity on the stable vortex lines.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of the People's Republic of China and the Fundamental Research Fund for Physics and Mathematics of Lanzhou University.

* Corresponding author; Electronic address: huangrn04@lzu.cn

- ¹ A. Griffin, D.W. Snoke, and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- ² F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
- ³ C. Baxter, M. Babiker, and R. Loudon, *Phys. Rev. A* **47**, 1278 (1993).
- ⁴ V. E. Lembessis, M. Babiker, C. Baxter, and R. Loudon, *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 1594 (1993).
- ⁵ M. Wilkens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 5 (1994).
- ⁶ H. Wei, R. Han, and X. Wei, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 2071 (1995); C.R. Hagen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1656 (1996); H. Wei, X. Wei, and R. Han, *ibid*, 1657 (1996); U. Leonhardt and M. Wilkens, *Europhys. Lett.* **42**, 365 (1998).
- ⁷ U. Leonhardt and P. Piwnicki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2426 (1999).
- ⁸ W.C. Röntgen, *Ann. Phys. Chem.* **35**, 264 (1888).
- ⁹ Y.S. Duan and M.L. Ge, *Sci. Sin.* **11**, 1072 (1979); Y.S. Duan and X.H. Meng, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 1149 (1993); Y.S. Duan and X.G. Lee, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **68**, 513 (1995).
- ¹⁰ Y.S. Duan, S.L. Zhang, and S.S. Feng, *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 4463 (1994).
- ¹¹ Y.S. Duan, S. Li, and G.H. Yang, *Nucl. Phys. B* **514**, 705 (1998).
- ¹² Y.S. Duan, Y. Jiang, and T. Xu, *Phys. Lett. A* **252**, 307 (1999).
- ¹³ Y.S. Duan, H. Zhang, and L.B. Fu, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 528 (1999).
- ¹⁴ Y.S. Duan, X.H. Zhang, Y.X. Liu, and L. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 144508 (2006).
- ¹⁵ J.A. Schouten, *Tensor Analysis for Physicists* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951); I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions* (Academic Press, New York, 1964).
- ¹⁶ D. Bohm, *Phys. Rev.* **85**, 166 (1952).